arXiv:1111.0923v1 [math.DG] 3 Nov 2011

SEMISTABILITY OF INVARIANT BUNDLES OVER G/Γ

INDRANIL BISWAS

Abstract. Let G be a connected reductive affine algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{C}$, and let Γ be a cocompact lattice in G. We prove that any invariant bundle on G/Γ is semistable.

Résumé. **Semi-stabilité de fibrés invariants sur G/Γ.** Soit Γ un sous-groupe discret cocompact d'un groupe algébique réductif affine G. Nous démontrons que tout fibré invariant sur G/Γ est semi-stable.

1. Introduction

Let G be a connected complex reductive affine algebraic group, and let $K \subset G$ be a maximal compact subgroup. Fixing a K–invariant Hermitian form on Lie(G), we may extend it to a right–translation invariant Hermitian structure on G. If ω_G is the corresponding $(1\,,1)$–form on G, and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} G = \delta$, we prove that the form $\omega_G^{\delta-1}$ is closed (see Proposition 2.1).

Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a cocompact lattice. The descent of ω_G to the compact quotient G/Γ will be denoted by $\widetilde{\omega}$. So, $d\widetilde{\omega}^{\delta-1} = 0$ by Proposition 2.1. This allows us to define the degree of a coherent analytic sheaf on G/Γ; as a consequence, semistable vector bundles on G/Γ can be defined.

A vector bundle E on G/Γ is called invariant if the pullback of E using the left–translation by any element of G is holomorphically isomorphic to E. We prove that invariant vector bundles are semistable (see Lemma 2.4). It may be mentioned that Lemma 2.4 remains valid for holomorphic principal bundles on G/Γ with a reductive group as the structure group.

2. Hermitian structure and semistability

Let G be a connected reductive affine algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{C}$. The Lie algebra of G will be denoted by $\mathfrak{g}$. Fix a maximal compact subgroup $K \subset G$.

The group G has the adjoint action on $\mathfrak{g}$. Let h_0 be a K–invariant inner product on the complex vector space $\mathfrak{g}$. Let h_G be the unique Hermitian structure on G, invariant under the right translation action of G on itself, with $h_G(e) = h_0$. Let ω_G be the $(1\,,1)$–form on G associated to h_G.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32L05, 53C30.

Proposition 2.1. *Let δ be the complex dimension of G. Then*

$$d\omega_G^{\delta-1} \,=\, 0\,,$$

where ω_G is defined above.

Proof. We will first reduce it to the case of semisimple groups. Let Z_G be the connected component of the center of G containing the identity element e. The Lie algebra of Z_G will be denoted by $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ decomposes as

$$\mathfrak{g} \,=\, [\mathfrak{g}\,,\mathfrak{g}] \oplus \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,; \tag{1}$$

we note that $[\mathfrak{g}\,,\mathfrak{g}]$ is semisimple. Using h_0, any element $v \,\in\, \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ produces an element $\widetilde{v} \,\in\, [\mathfrak{g}\,,\mathfrak{g}]^*$ defined as follows:

$$\widetilde{v}(y) \,=\, h_0(y\,,v)$$

for all $y \,\in\, [\mathfrak{g}\,,\mathfrak{g}]$.

The action of G on $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves the decomposition in (1), and the action of G on $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is trivial. Since h_0 is K–invariant, these imply that $\widetilde{v}$ is left invariant by the action of K on $[\mathfrak{g}\,,\mathfrak{g}]^*$. We note that $[\mathfrak{g}\,,\mathfrak{g}]^*$ is identified with $[\mathfrak{g}\,,\mathfrak{g}]$ using the Killing form. There is no nonzero element of $[\mathfrak{g}\,,\mathfrak{g}]$ fixed by G because $[\mathfrak{g}\,,\mathfrak{g}]$ is semisimple; since K is Zariski dense in G, this implies that

$$[\mathfrak{g}\,,\mathfrak{g}]^K \,=\, 0\,.$$

In particular, $\widetilde{v} \,=\, 0$. Hence the decomposition in (1) is orthogonal with respect to h_0.

The natural projection

$$f\,:\,[G\,,G]\times Z_G \,\longrightarrow\, G$$

is a finite étale Galois covering. Since the decomposition in (1) is orthogonal, the 2–form $f^*\omega_G$ decomposes as

$$f^*\omega_G \,=\, p_1^*\omega_1 + p_2^*\omega_2\,, \tag{2}$$

where p_i is the projection of $[G\,,G]\times Z_G$ to the i–th factor, and ω_1 (respectively, ω_2) is the $(1\,,1)$–form on $[G\,,G]$ (respectively, Z_G) associated to the right translation invariant Hermitian metric obtained by translating $h_0|_{[\mathfrak{g}\,,\mathfrak{g}]}$ (respectively, $h_0|_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$). Form (2),

$$f^*\omega_G^{\delta-1} \,=\, (p_1^*\omega_1^{\delta_1-1})\wedge p_2^*\omega_2^{\delta_2} + (p_1^*\omega_1^{\delta_1})\wedge p_2^*\omega_2^{\delta_2-1}\,,$$

where δ_1 and δ_2 are the complex dimensions of $[G\,,G]$ and Z_G respectively. Hence

$$f^*d\omega_G^{\delta-1} \,=\, df^*\omega_G^{\delta-1} \,=\, (p_1^*d\omega_1^{\delta_1-1})\wedge p_2^*\omega_2^{\delta_2} + (p_1^*\omega_1^{\delta_1})\wedge p_2^*d\omega_2^{\delta_2-1}\,. \tag{3}$$

Since Z_G is abelian, it follows that $d\omega_2 \,=\, 0$. Therefore, from (3) we conclude that $d\omega_G^{\delta-1} \,=\, 0$ if $d\omega_1^{\delta_1-1} \,=\, 0$. Therefore, it is enough to prove the proposition for G semisimple.

We assume that G is semisimple.

Since the inner product h_0 is K–invariant, the Hermitian structure h_G is invariant under the left–translation action of K on G. Therefore, the element

$$(d\omega_G^{\delta-1})(e) \,\in\, \wedge^{2\delta-1}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^* \tag{4}$$

is preserved by the action of K on $\wedge^{2\delta-1}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^*$ constructed using the adjoint action of K on $\mathfrak{g}$.

The Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$ produces a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$. Using it, the K–module $\wedge^{2\delta-1}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})^*$ gets identified with $\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$. There is no nonzero element of $\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$ which is fixed by K because G is semisimple and K is Zariski dense in G. In particular, the K–invariant element $(d\omega^{\delta-1}_G)(e)$ in (4) vanishes. Since $d\omega^{\delta-1}$ is invariant under the right–translation action of G on itself, and $(d\omega^{\delta-1}_G)(e)\,=\,0$, we conclude that $d\omega^{\delta-1}_G\,=\,0$. $\square$

Let

$$\Gamma\,\subset\, G$$

be a closed discrete subgroup such that the quotient manifold G/Γ is compact. The right–translation invariant Hermitian structure h_G on G descends to a Hermitian structure on G/Γ. This Hermitian structure on G/Γ will be denoted by $\widetilde{h}$. Let $\widetilde{\omega}$ be the $(1\,,1)$–form on G/Γ defined by $\widetilde{h}$; so $\widetilde{\omega}$ pulls back to the form ω_G on G. From Proposition 2.1 we know that

$$d\widetilde{\omega}^{\delta-1}\,=\,0\,. \tag{5}$$

For a coherent analytic sheaf E on G/Γ, define the *degree* of E

$$\text{degree}(E)\,:=\,\int_{G/\Gamma} c_1(\det(E))\wedge\widetilde{\omega}^{\delta-1}\,;$$

from (5) it follows immediately that degree(E) is independent of the choice of the first Chern form for the (holomorphic) determinant line bundle $\det(E)$; see [5, Ch. V, § 6] for determinant bundle.

For any $g\,\in\, G$, let

$$\beta_g\,:\, M\,:=\,G/\Gamma\,\longrightarrow\, G/\Gamma \tag{6}$$

be the left–translation automorphism defined by $x\,\longmapsto\, gx$. A coherent analytic sheaf E over G/Γ is called *invariant* if for each $g\,\in\, G$, the pulled back coherent analytic sheaf $\beta^*_g E$ is isomorphic to E. Note that an invariant coherent analytic sheaf is locally free.

Theorem 2.2. *Let E be an invariant holomorphic vector bundle on G/Γ. Then*

$$\text{degree}(E)\,=\,0\,.$$

Proof. Since E is invariant, it admits a holomorphic connection [3, Theorem 3.1]. Any holomorphic connection on E induces a holomorphic connection on the determinant line bundle bundle $\det(E)\,:=\,\bigwedge^r E$, where r is the rank of E.

Let

$$D\,:\,\det(E)\,\longrightarrow\,\det(E)\otimes\Omega^{1,0}_{G/\Gamma}$$

be a holomorphic connection on $\det(E)$; see [1] for the definition of a holomorphic connection. Let

$$\overline{\partial}_{\det(E)}\,:\,\det(E)\,\longrightarrow\,\det(E)\otimes\Omega^{0,1}_{G/\Gamma}$$

be the Dolbeault operator defining the holomorphic structure on $\det(E)$. Then $D+\overline{\partial}_{\det(E)}$ is a connection on $\det(E)$. Let $\mathcal{K}(D+\overline{\partial}_{\det(E)})$ be the curvature of the connection $D+\overline{\partial}_{\det(E)}$. We note that

$$\mathcal{K}(D+\overline{\partial}_{\det(E)}) \,=\, (D+\overline{\partial}_{\det(E)})^2 \,=\, D^2\,,$$

because the differential operator D is holomorphic, and $\overline{\partial}_{\det(E)}$ is integrable, meaning $\overline{\partial}^2_{\det(E)} \,=\, 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{K}(D+\overline{\partial}_E)$ is a differential form of G/Γ of type $(2\,,0)$. (In fact, the form D^2, which is called the curvature of the holomorphic connection D, is holomorphic, but we do not need it.)

As $\mathcal{K}(D+\overline{\partial}_E)$ is of type $(2\,,0)$, and $\widetilde{\omega}$ is of type $(1\,,1)$,

$$\text{degree}(\det(E)) \,=\, \int_{G/\Gamma} \mathcal{K}(D+\overline{\partial}_E)\wedge\widetilde{\omega}^{\delta-1} \,=\, 0\,.$$

Since $c_1(E) \,=\, c_1(\det(E))$, the theorem follows. ☐

A vector bundle E over G/Γ is called *semistable* if

$$\frac{\text{degree}(V)}{\text{rank}(V)} \leq \frac{\text{degree}(E)}{\text{rank}(E)}$$

for every coherent analytic subsheaf $V \,\subset\, E$ of positive rank.

Lemma 2.3. *Let E be a torsionfree coherent analytic sheaf on G/Γ. For any $g \,\in\, G$,*

$$\text{degree}(E) \,=\, \text{degree}(\beta^*_g E)\,,$$

where β_g is the map in (6).

Proof. Since G is connected, the map β_g is homotopic to the identity map of G/Γ. Hence

$$c_1(\det(\beta^*_g E)) - c_1(\det(E)) \,=\, d\alpha\,,$$

where α is a smooth 1–form on G/Γ, and $c_1(\det(\beta^*_g E))$ and $c_1(\det(E))$ are first Chern forms. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{degree}(\beta^*_g E) - \text{degree}(E) \,&=\, \int_{G/\Gamma}(c_1(\det(\beta^*_g E)) - c_1(\det(E)))\wedge\widetilde{\omega}^{\delta-1}\\ &=\, \int_{G/\Gamma}(d\alpha)\wedge\widetilde{\omega}^{\delta-1} \,=\, \int_{G/\Gamma}\alpha\wedge d\widetilde{\omega}^{\delta-1} \,=\, 0\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 2.1. ☐

Lemma 2.4. *Let E be an invariant holomorphic vector bundle on G/Γ. Then E is semistable.*

Proof. Let

$$0 \,=\, V_0 \,\subset\, V_1 \,\subset\, \cdots \,\subset\, V_{\ell-1} \,\subset\, V_\ell \,=\, E$$

be the unique Harder–Narasimhan filtration for E [4, p. 590, Theorem 3.2]. We recall that

$$\frac{\text{degree}(V_1)}{\text{rank}(V_1)} > \frac{\text{degree}(E)}{\text{rank}(E)} \tag{7}$$

if $V_1 \neq E$. From the uniqueness of V_1 and Lemma 2.3 it follows that for any $g \in G$ and any isomorphism of E with $\beta_g^* E$, the image of the composition

$$\beta_g^* V_1 \hookrightarrow \beta_g^* E \xrightarrow{\sim} E$$

coincides with V_1. This implies that V_1 is invariant. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{degree}(V) \,=\, 0 \,=\, \mathrm{degree}(E)$$

by Theorem 2.2. Now from (7) we conclude that $V_1 = E$. Hence E is semistable. $\square$

Let H be any affine complex algebraic group. Let E_H be an invariant holomorphic principal H–bundle over G/P, which means that the principal H–bundle $\beta_g^* E_H$ is holomorphically isomorphic to E_H for all $g \in G$. From Lemma 2.4 we know that the adjoint vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(E_H)$ is semistable. If H is reductive then the semistability of $\mathrm{ad}(E_H)$ implies that the principal H–bundle E_H is semistable; see [6] for the definition of semistable principal bundles.

It is a natural question to ask whether Lemma 2.4 remains valid if the reductive group G is replaced by some more general affine algebraic groups G_1. The first step would be to construct a suitable Hermitian structure on the compact quotient G_1/Γ, where Γ is a cocompact lattice in G_1. In order to be able to define semistability, the Hermitian structure on G_1/Γ should satisfy the Gauduchon condition. It may be noted that the Hermitian structure on G_1/Γ given by a right–translation invariant Hermitian structure on G_1 satisfies the Gauduchon condition (see [2, p. 74]. For a general compact complex manifold equipped with a Gauduchon metric, the degree of a line bundle with holomorphic connection need not be zero; but it remains valid if the base admits a Kähler metric [1, p. 196, Proposition 12]. The compact complex manifold G_1/Γ admits a Kähler metric if and only if G_1 is abelian.

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah, Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 181–207.

[2] I. Biswas, Stable Higgs bundles on compact Gauduchon manifolds, *Comptes Ren. Acad. Sci.* **349** (2011), 71-74.

[3] I. Biswas, Principal bundles on compact complex manifolds with trivial tangent bundle, *Archiv Math. (Basel)* , **96** (2011), 409–416.

[4] L. Bruasse, Harder-Narasimhan filtration on non Kähler manifolds, *Int. Jour. Math.* **12** (2001), 579–594.

[5] S. Kobayashi, Differential Geometry of Complex Vector Bundles, Publications of the Math. Society of Japan 15, Iwanami Shoten Publishers and Princeton University Press, 1987.

[6] A. Ramanathan, Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface, *Math. Ann.* **213** (1975), 129–152.

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400005, India

E-mail address: `indranil@math.tifr.res.in`